

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/284,009		LEWIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Celine X Qian Ph.D.		1636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Celine X Qian.

(3) Kwai Lau.

(2) Dave Nguyen.

(4) Jill Martin.

Date of Interview: 11/22, 12/2 and 8, 2004

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 11/22/04, Applicants' representatives and the examiner discussed possible amendments to overcome the rejections of the record. Upon reviewing the draft amendment submitted on 12/26/04, the examiner contacted Applicants' representative on 12/2/04 and made additional suggestions to the amendment. A draft amendment was submitted on 12/3/04. The examiner contacted Applicants' representative on 12/8/04 and indicated the proposed amendment is allowable.



**DAVE TRONG NGUYEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**